

SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY INSPECTION REPORT

DATE: 6/19/74 TIME: 10:45 AM COUNTY: Kosciusko Co.
 SUBJECT: # HEIMAN ~~Heiman~~ Lynch site
 LOCATION: C.R. 400S East of S.R. 15
 PERSON(S) CONTACTED: None

I. IS THIS OPERATION APPROVED?

YES

NO

II. TYPE OF OPERATION: DUMP

MARGINAL

LANDFILL

OTHER: Closed Land

III. OPERATING DEFICIENCIES (EXPLAIN EACH DEFICIENCY IN PART V, BELOW)

- (X) 1. Cover not adequate.
 () 2. Cover materials not available near operating area.
 () 3. Cover material handling equipment not available or not adequate.
 () 4. On-site roads not adequate or not of an all-weather type.
 () 5. Burning: () Past; () Presently.
 () 6. Vehicular access not adequately controlled when site is not open.
 (X) 7. Litter on access roads to site--not policed or in excessive amounts.
 () 8. Control and/or policing of blowing paper not adequate.
 () 9. Salvaged materials not properly stored or causing operational problems.
 () 10. Size of working face too large.
 () 11. Surface drainage on deposit area not adequately controlled.
 () 12. Surface drainage on completed areas not adequately controlled.
 () 13. Visibly leaching.
 () 14. Large numbers (estimated greater than 50) of insects or birds.
 () 15. Large numbers (estimated greater than 5) of rats.
 () 16. Presence of hazardous or prohibited wastes.
 () 17. Refuse dumped in water (standing water or permanent surface water).
 () 18. Odor problem.

IV. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SITE:

1. Proximity to major highways: 1/2 mile of S.R. 15
 2. Proximity to dwellings: Adjacent
 3. Water on site or nearby: covered in pond
 4. Jurisdiction of Site or Operation: Private County Municipal
 5. Is garbage present? Yes No
 6. Soils observed: Sand

V. REMARKS FROM PART III ABOVE:

Item	Remarks
	<u>Ray Custer Operator</u>
	<u>Closed - as of June 15, 1974</u>
	<u>Gate located</u>
	<u>Litter outside gate - sign posted no dumping plus</u>
	<u>directions to David Lindsey's site.</u>
	<u>Eroding - need cover</u>
	<u>Pond filling again</u>

VI. OVERALL EVALUATION:

Overall evaluation of operation: Excellent Good Marginal UnacceptableOverall evaluation of site: Good Marginal PoorINSPECTED BY: Chris Belovic & David Lamm